



FUZZY IDEALS OF BBG-ALGEBRAS

Teferi Getachew Alemayehu

Department of Mathematics, Debre Berhan University, Debre Berhan, Ethiopia.

Abstract

In this work, we explored various related characteristics and introduced fuzzy ideals and fuzzy congruences in BBG-algebras. We also examine the relationship on commutative BBG-algebras between fuzzy ideals and fuzzy congruences. We characterized and investigated various characteristics of the fuzzy quotient in commutative BBG-algebras generated by this relation

Keywords: BBG-algebras, fuzzy congruences, fuzzy ideals, fuzzy quotient BBG-algebras.

1. Introduction

An ideals of in BCC-algebras was presented by Dudek and Zhang [4], who also discussed the relationships between these ideals and congruences. Ding and Pang [5] gave the definition, characteristics, and use of quotient algebras as a fundamental tool for examining the structures of BCI-algebras. Prabpayak and Leerawat [6] presented KU-algebras, a type of algebra in which ideals are defined and congruences on KU-algebras are examined.

Asawasamrit and Leerawat [2] analyzed the relationship between ideals and congruences and proposed an algebraic structure known as a binary algebra. They also looked at the properties of quotient binary algebra and described it.

Asawasamrit and Sudprasert [3] established the general theory of KK-algebras and demonstrated the relationship between ideals and congruences.

The first time fuzzy sets and fuzzy relations were proposed by L.A. Zadeh [1]. Numerous writers then conducted research on it

K.J. Lee [9] presented ideals in pseudo BCI-algebras. Fuzzy ideals of pseudo BCK-algebras proposed by Dymek, Walendziak [10]. Also, Murali [11, 12] studied fuzzy congruence relations on algebras.

Further, M. Kondo [13] defined a fuzzy congruence relation on a group and showed that there is a lattice isomorphism between the set of fuzzy normal subgroups of a group and the set of fuzzy congruences on this group. Recently, A. Rezaei et al [14, 15, 16] discussed on (fuzzy) congruence relations in (pseudo) CI/BE-algebras and studied some of their properties.

In the present study, we studied certain related characteristics and presented the ideas of fuzzy ideals and fuzzy congruences on BBG-algebras. Additionally, we looked at the relationship on commutative BBG-algebras between fuzzy ideals and fuzzy congruences. We characterized and investigated the features of the fuzzy quotient in commutative BBG-algebras generated by this relation.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this topic, start with basic axioms and important results which we need in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. [7] A BBG-algebra is algebra $(H, *, 0)$ with a binary operation $*$, for every $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$ which satisfies the following properties:

*Corresponding author: teferigetachew3@gmail.com.

- (1) $\alpha * \alpha = 0$,
- (2) $0 * \alpha = \alpha$,
- (3) $\alpha * (\beta * \gamma) = ((\beta * 0) * \alpha) * \gamma$.

Theorem 2.2. [7] Let $(H, *, 0)$ be a BBG-algebra. Then, for every $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$:

- (1) $((\alpha * 0) * (\alpha * \beta) = \beta$,
- (2) $\alpha * \beta = \alpha * \gamma \Rightarrow \beta = \gamma$,
- (3) $(\alpha * \beta) * \gamma = \beta * ((\alpha * 0) * \gamma)$,
- (4) $\alpha * \beta = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha = \beta$,
- (5) $(\alpha * 0) * 0 = \alpha$,
- (6) $(\beta * \alpha) * 0 = \alpha * \beta$,
- (7) $\beta * \alpha = \gamma * \alpha \Rightarrow \beta = \gamma$.

Definition 2.3. [7] A commutative BBG-algebra (CBBG-algebra) is a BBG-algebra with the characteristic that $(\alpha * 0) * \beta = (\beta * 0) * \alpha$ for every $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$. Then a binary operation $\wedge$ on a commutative BBG-algebra defined on $(H, *, 0)$ by $\alpha \wedge \beta = (\alpha * \beta) * \beta$ and showed that $\alpha \wedge \beta = \beta \wedge \alpha$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in H$ and proved the following proposition.

Theorem 2.4. [7] If $(H, *, 0)$ is a commutative BBG-algebra, then for every $\mu, \pi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$:

- (1) $(\beta * 0) * (\alpha * 0) = \alpha * \beta$,
- (2) $(\alpha * \beta) * \beta = \alpha$,
- (3) $(\beta * \pi) * (\alpha * \mu) = (\mu * \pi) * (\alpha * \beta)$,
- (4) $(\gamma * \alpha) * (\gamma * \beta) = \alpha * \beta$,
- (5) $(\beta * \gamma) * (\alpha * \gamma) = \alpha * \beta$,
- (6) $(\pi * \beta) * \alpha = (\alpha * \beta) * \pi$,
- (7) $\alpha * (\beta * \gamma) = \beta * (\alpha * \gamma)$.

Lemma 2.6. [8] Let A is a closed subset of a BBG-algebra $(H, *, 0)$. Then $0 \in A$.

Definition 2.7. [8] Let $(H, *, 0)$ be a BBG-algebra and A be a subset of H . Then A is known as an ideal of $(H, *, 0)$ under the operation, if it satisfies the following conditions

- (1) $0 \in A$,
- (2) For $\alpha, \beta \in H$, if $\alpha \in A$ and $\alpha * \beta \in A$ then $\beta \in A$.

3. FUZZY IDEALS BBG-ALGEBRAS

In the present study, we study closed fuzzy subsets (CFS), fuzzy ideals (FI) and closed fuzzy ideals (CFI) and their properties.

Definition 3.1. Let $(H, *, 0)$ be a BBG-algebra and f be a non-empty fuzzy subset of H . Then, f is CFS of H if $f(0) = 0$ and $f(\alpha) \wedge f(\beta) \leq f(\alpha * \beta)$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in H$.

We can characterize fuzzy closed subset by using the level closed subset of BBG-algebras.

Theorem 3.2. Let f be a fuzzy set in H . Then, f is a CFS of H iff, for every $a \in [0, 1]$, f_a is a closed set of H .

Proof. Suppose that f is a fuzzy set in H , then $f(0) = 0$. This implies $0 \in f_a$ and so $f_a \neq \emptyset$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \xi_a$. Then, $f(\alpha * \beta) \geq f(\alpha) \wedge f(\beta) \geq a$. Hence $\alpha * \beta \in f_a$.

Conversely, suppose that $a \in [0, 1]$, f_a is a closed subset of H . Since

$0 \in f_a$ for every $a \in [0, 1]$. Put $a = 1$, then $0 \in f_1$.

This implies $f(0) \geq f(1)$. Hence $f(0) = 1$.

Also, let $f(\alpha) = \alpha_1$ and $f(\beta) = \alpha_2$. This implies $\alpha \in \xi_{\alpha_1}$ and $\beta \in \xi_{\alpha_2}$.

Put $\alpha = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2$, then $\alpha \leq \alpha_1$ and $\alpha \leq \alpha_2$. This indicates $\alpha \in \xi_{\alpha_1} \subseteq \xi_{\alpha}$ and $\beta \in \xi_{\alpha_2} \subseteq \xi_{\alpha}$. This implies $\alpha \beta \in \xi_{\alpha}$, and so $\xi(\alpha * \beta) \geq \alpha = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 = \xi(\alpha) \wedge \xi(\beta)$.

Corollary 3.3 Let $\Phi \neq I \subseteq H$. Then, H is an closed subset iff χ_I is a CFS of H .

Definition 3.4. Let $(H, *, 0)$ be a BBG-algebra and f be a fuzzy subset of H . Then f is called a FI of $(H, *, 0)$ under the operation $*$, if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $f(0) = 0$,
- (2) $f(\alpha) \wedge f(\alpha * \beta) \leq f(\beta)$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in H$.

We can characterize fuzzy ideals by using the level ideals of an BBG-algebras.

Theorem 3.5. Let f be a fuzzy set in H . Then, f is a FI of H if and only if, for all $a \in [0, 1]$, f_a is an ideal of H .

Proof. Suppose that f is a FI in H , then $f(0) = 0$. This implies $0 \in f_a$ and so $f_a \neq \emptyset$. Let $\alpha, \alpha * \beta \in f_a$. Then, $f(\beta) \geq f(\alpha) \wedge f(\alpha * \beta) \geq a$. Hence $\beta \in f_a$.

Conversely, suppose that $a \in [0, 1]$, f_a is a fuzzy ideal of H .

Since $0 \in f_a$ for every $a \in [0, 1]$. Put $a = 1$, then $0 \in f_1$.

This implies $f(0) \geq f(1)$.

Hence $f(0) = 1$.

Also, let $f(\alpha) = a_1$ and $f(\alpha * \beta) = a_2$. This implies $a \in f_{a_1}$ and $\alpha * \beta \in f_{a_2}$. Put $\alpha = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2$, then $a \leq a_1$ and $a \leq a_2$. This indicates $\alpha \in f_{a_1} \subseteq f_a$ and $\alpha * \beta \in f_{a_1} \subseteq f_a$. This implies $\beta \in f_a$, and so $f(\beta) \geq a = a_1 \wedge a_2 = f(\alpha) \wedge f(\alpha * \beta)$.

Corollary 3.6. Let $\Phi \neq I \subseteq H$. Then, I is an ideal iff χ_I is a FI of H .

Example 3.7. Let $H = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and let the binary operation $*$ be defined by table 1.

*	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	1	2	3	4	5
1	2	0	1	4	5	3
2	1	2	0	5	3	4
3	3	4	5	0	1	2
4	4	5	3	2	0	1
5	5	3	4	1	2	0

Table 1

We see in [7], $(H, *, 0)$ is a BBG-algebra. Define a fuzzy subset f, h and i on H as follows: $f(0) = 1, f(1) = f(2) = 0.6$ and $f(3) = f(4) = f(5) = 0.2$.

$h(0) = h(3) = 1, h(1) = h(2) = 0.8$ and $h(4) = h(5) = 0.3$, and $i(0) = i(3) = 1$ and $i(1) = i(2) = i(4) = i(5) = 0$ are CFI of H .

Example 3.8. Let $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and let $*$ the operation defined by the table-2.

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

Table 2

Lemma 3.9. Let $(H, *, 0)$ be CBBG-algebra and let f be a CFS of H . Then f is a FI of H if and only if $f(\alpha) \wedge (1 - f(\gamma * \beta)) \geq (1 - f(\gamma * (\alpha * \beta)))$ for all for every $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$.

Proof. Suppose that f_i is a CFI of X . Then, for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$, $f(\alpha) \wedge f(\gamma * \beta) \leq f(\alpha * (\gamma * \beta)) = f(\gamma * (\alpha * \beta))$. This implies

$$f(\alpha) \wedge (1 - f(\gamma * \beta)) = 1 - (f(\alpha) * f(\gamma * \beta)) \geq 1 - (f(\gamma * (\alpha * \beta))).$$

Conversely, since f is a CFS of H , then $f(\alpha) \leq f(0)$ for all $\alpha \in H$.

Suppose that $f(\alpha) \wedge 1 - f(\gamma * \beta) \leq (1 - f(\gamma * (\alpha * \beta)))$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$. This implies that $f(\alpha) \wedge f(\gamma * \beta) \leq f(\gamma * (\alpha * \beta))$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$.

Put $\gamma = \beta$, we have that $f(\alpha) \wedge f(\alpha * \beta) \leq f(\alpha * (\alpha * \beta)) = f((\alpha * \alpha) * \beta) = f(0 * \beta) = f(\beta)$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$. This implies f is a fuzzy ideal of H .

We see in [7], $(H, *, 0)$ is a CBBG-algebra.

Define a fuzzy subset f on H as follows:

$f(0) = 1 = f(2)$, and $f(1) = f(3) = 0.6$ are CFI of H .

Lemma 3.9. Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a CBBG-algebra and let f be a CFS of X . Then f is a FI of X if and only if $f(\alpha) \wedge (1 - f(\gamma * \gamma)) \geq (1 - f(\gamma * (\alpha * \beta)))$ for all for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$.

Proof. Suppose that f_i is a CFI of H . Then, for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$, $f(\alpha) \wedge f(\gamma * \beta) \leq f(\alpha * (\gamma * \beta)) = f(\gamma * (\alpha * \beta))$. This implies

$$f(\alpha) \wedge (1 - f(\gamma * \beta)) = 1 - (f(\alpha) * f(\gamma * \beta)) \geq 1 - (f(\beta * (\alpha * \beta))).$$

Conversely, since f is a CFS of H , then $f(\alpha) \leq f(0)$ for any $\alpha \in H$.

Suppose that $f(\alpha) \wedge 1 - f(\gamma * \beta) \leq (1 - f(\gamma * (\alpha * \gamma)))$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$. This implies that

$f(\alpha) \wedge f(\gamma * \beta) \leq f(\gamma * (\alpha * \beta))$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$. Put $\gamma = \beta$, we have that $f(\alpha) \wedge f(\alpha * \beta) \leq f(\alpha * (\alpha * \beta)) = f((\alpha * \alpha) * \beta) = f(0 * \beta) = f(\beta)$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$. This implies ξ is a FI of H .

Corollary 3.10. Let f be a CFS of a CBBG-algebra H . Then f is a FI of H if and only if

$$f(\alpha) \wedge (1 - f(\beta)) \geq (1 - f(\alpha * \beta)) \text{ for any } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H.$$

Lemma 3.11. Let ξ be a CFS of a CBBG-algebra H . Then f is a FI of H if and only if $f(\alpha * (\beta * \gamma)) \wedge (1 - f(\alpha * \gamma)) \geq (1 - f(\beta))$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$.

Corollary 3.12. Let ξ be a CFS of a CBBG-algebra H . Then f is a FI of H if and only if

$f(\alpha * \beta) \wedge (1 - f(\beta)) \geq (1 - f(\alpha))$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$.

Theorem 3.13. Let $\{f_t: t \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a family of FI in a BBG-algebra H , where $f_n \subseteq f_{n+1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ (γ) is a FI of BBG-algebra of H .

Proof. Since f_1 is a FI, then $\xi_1(0) = 1$ and $f_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$. This implies $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(0) = 1$.

Also, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(\alpha) \wedge \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(\alpha * \beta) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f_t(\alpha): t \in (1, \infty)\} \wedge \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f_r(\alpha * \beta): r \in (1, \infty)\} = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f_t(\alpha) \wedge \xi_r(\alpha * \beta): t, r \in (1, \infty)\}$.

Without generality $r \leq t$ such that $f_r \subseteq f_t$. This implies $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f_t(\alpha) \wedge f_r(\alpha * \beta): t, r \in (1, \infty)\} \leq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f_t(\alpha) \wedge f_t(\alpha * \beta): t \in (1, \infty)\} \leq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f_t(\beta): t \in (1, \infty)\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(\beta)$.

This implies $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(j)$ is a FI of BBG-algebra of H .

Theorem 3.13. Let $\{f_t: t \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a family of FIs in a BBG-algebra H , where $f_n \subseteq f_{n+1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(\beta)$ is a CFI of BBG-algebra of H .

Proof: For any $\alpha, \beta \in H$, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(i) \wedge \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(\beta) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f_t(\alpha): t \in (1, \infty)\} \wedge \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f_r(\beta): r \in (1, \infty)\} = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f_t(\alpha) \wedge f_r(\beta): t, r \in (1, \infty)\}$. Without generality $r \leq t$ such that $f_r \subseteq f_t$.

This implies $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f_t(\alpha) \wedge f_r(\beta): t, r \in (1, \infty)\} \leq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f_t(\alpha) \wedge f_t(\beta): t \in (1, \infty)\} \leq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f_t(\alpha * \beta): j \in (1, \infty)\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(\alpha * \beta)$.

This implies $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n(\beta)$ is a CFS of BBG-algebra of H .

Also, by Theorem 3.13, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(\beta)$ is a FI of BBG-algebra of H . Hence $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(\beta)$ is a CFI of BBG-algebra of X .

Theorem 3.14: Let $\{f_t: t \in I\}$ be a family of FIs in a BBG-algebra H . Then $\bigcap_{t \in I} f_t$ is a FI of H .

Proof: $\bigcap_{t \in I} f_t(0) = \bigwedge \{f_t(0): \forall t \in I\} = 1$.

For any $\alpha, \beta \in H$, $\bigcap_{t \in I} f_t(\alpha) \wedge \bigcap_{t \in I} f_t(\alpha * \beta) = \bigwedge \{f_t(\alpha): \forall t \in I\} \wedge \bigwedge \{f_t(\alpha * \beta): \forall t \in I\} = \bigwedge \{f_t(\alpha) \wedge f_t(\alpha * \beta): \forall t \in I\} \leq \bigwedge \{f_t(\beta): \forall t \in I\} = \bigcap_{t \in I} f_t(\beta)$. Hence $\bigcap_{t \in I} f_t$ is a FI of H .

Theorem 3.15: Let $\{f_t: t \in I\}$ be a family of CFIs in a BBG-algebra H . Then $\bigcap_{t \in I} f_t$ is a CFI of H .

Proof: For any $\alpha, \beta \in H$, $\bigcap_{t \in I} f_t(\alpha) \wedge \bigcap_{t \in I} f_t(\beta) = \bigwedge \{f_t(\alpha): \forall t \in I\} \wedge \bigwedge \{f_t(\beta): \forall t \in I\} \leq \bigwedge \{f_t(\alpha * \beta): \forall t \in I\} = \bigcap_{t \in I} f_t(\alpha * \beta)$.

$\{f_t(\alpha * \beta): \forall t \in I\} = \bigcap_{t \in I} f_t(\alpha * \beta)$. Hence $\bigcap_{t \in I} f_t$ is a CFI of H .

By using above and by Theorem 3.15, $\bigcap_{t \in I} f_t$ is a CFI of H .

4. FUZZY CONGRUENCE OF BBG-ALGEBRAS

In this section, we study fuzzy congruence (FC) and fuzzy quotient (FQ) on BBG-algebras.

Definition 4.1. A fuzzy relation θ is a FC of BBG-algebra C if it is a fuzzy equivalence relation X and fuzzy compatible of H .

Definition 4.2. Let f be a FI of a BBG-algebra H . Define a fuzzy relation θ on H by $\theta(\alpha, \beta) = f(\alpha * \beta) \wedge f(\beta * \alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in H$.

Theorem 4.3. If f is a FI of a CBBG-algebra H , then fuzzy relation θ on H is an equivalence relation on H .

Proof. For any $\alpha \in H$, $\theta(\alpha, \alpha) = f(\alpha * \alpha) \wedge f(\alpha * \alpha) = f(0) = 1$. This implies θ is fuzzy reflexive.

For any $\alpha, \beta \in H$, $\theta(\alpha, \beta) = f(\alpha * \beta) \wedge f(\beta * \alpha) = f(\beta * \alpha) \wedge f(\alpha * \beta) = \theta(\beta, \alpha)$. This implies θ is fuzzy symmetric. Next, we show that θ is fuzzy transitive.

For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\alpha, \beta) \wedge \theta(\beta, \gamma) &= f(\alpha * \beta) \wedge f(\beta * \alpha) \wedge f(\beta * \gamma) \wedge f(\gamma * \beta) \\ &\leq f(\beta * \alpha) \wedge f(\gamma * \beta) \\ &= f((\gamma * \beta) * (\gamma * \alpha)) \\ &\wedge f(\gamma * \beta) \leq f(\gamma * \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $\theta(\alpha, \beta) \wedge \theta(\beta, \gamma) \leq f(\alpha * \gamma)$.

This implies $\theta(\alpha, \beta) \wedge \theta(\beta, \gamma) = f(\alpha * \gamma) \wedge f(\gamma * \alpha) = \theta(\alpha, \gamma)$.

This implies θ is a fuzzy transitive. Hence θ is a fuzzy equivalence relation.

Lemma 4.4. Let f is a FI of a CBBG-algebra H , then $\theta(u, v) \wedge \theta(\alpha, \beta) \leq \theta(u * \alpha, v * \beta)$ for any $\alpha, \beta, u, v \in H$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } \theta(u, v) \wedge \theta(\alpha, \beta) &= f(u * v) \wedge f(v * u) \wedge f(\alpha * \beta) \wedge f(\beta * \alpha) \\ &\leq f(u * v) \wedge f(v * u) \\ &= f((v * \alpha) * (u * \alpha)) \wedge f((u * \alpha) * (v * \alpha)) \\ &= \theta(u * \alpha, v * \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(u, v) \wedge \theta(\alpha, \beta) &= f(u * v) \wedge f(v * u) \wedge f(\alpha * \beta) \wedge f(\beta * \alpha) \\ &\leq f(\alpha * \beta) \wedge f(\beta * \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= f((v * \beta) * (v * \alpha) \wedge \\
&f((v * \beta) * (v * \alpha)) \\
&= \theta(v * \alpha, v * \beta).
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.3, $\theta(u, v) \wedge \theta(\alpha, \beta) \leq \theta(u * \alpha, v * \alpha) \wedge \theta(v * \alpha, v * \beta) \leq \theta(u * \alpha, v * \beta)$.

Corollary 4.5. If f is a FI of a CBBG-algebra H , then the fuzzy relation θ is a fuzzy congruence relation on H .

Proof. By Theorem 4.3 and 4.4, the fuzzy relation θ is a FC relation on H .

Definition 4.6. Let f be a FI of a CBBG-algebra H . The fuzzy sub set f_i on H defined as $f_i(\beta) =$

$f(\alpha * \beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in H$. If $f_\alpha = f_\beta$ if and only if $f(\alpha * \beta) = 1$ and $f(\beta * \alpha) = 1$, ($\xi_\alpha = \xi_\beta \iff \theta(\alpha, \beta) = 1$). It is call to be fuzzy equivalence class ξ_α of α . We defined a fuzzy quotient set by $H/f = \{f_\alpha : \alpha \in H\}$.

Theorem 4.7. Let f be a FI of a CBBG-algebra $(H, *, 0)$. Then $(H/\xi, \circ, \xi_0)$ is a commutative BBG-algebra the operation $\circ$ on H/ξ defined by $f_\alpha \circ f_\beta = f_{\alpha * \beta}$ for all $f_\alpha, f_\beta \in H/\xi$.

Proof. We prove that $(H/f, \circ, f_0)$ is a BBG-algebra.

- (1) For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in H$ and for any $f_\alpha, f_\beta, f_\gamma, \xi_\delta \in H/\xi$ such that $f_\alpha = f_\beta$ and $f_\gamma = f_\delta$. We claim $\circ$ that $f_\alpha \circ f_\gamma = f_\beta \circ f_\delta$. If $f_\alpha = f_\beta$, then $\theta(\alpha, \beta) = 1$, and if $f_\gamma = f_\delta$, then $\theta(\gamma, \delta) = 1$.

This implies

$$1 = \theta(\alpha, \beta) \wedge \theta(\gamma, \delta) \leq \theta((\alpha * \gamma), (\beta * \delta)) \text{ and}$$

This implies

$$1 = \theta((\alpha * \gamma), (\beta * \delta)).$$

This implies $\xi_{\alpha * \gamma} = \xi_{\beta * \delta}$.

Thus

$$\xi_\alpha \circ \xi_\gamma = \xi_{\alpha * \gamma} = \xi_{\beta * \delta} = \xi_\beta \circ \xi_\delta.$$

- (2) For any $\xi_\alpha, \xi_\beta, \xi_\gamma$ and $\xi_\delta \in H/\xi$

$$(3) \xi_\alpha \circ \xi_\alpha = \xi_{\alpha * \alpha} = \xi_0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \xi_\alpha \circ (\xi_\beta \circ \xi_\gamma) &= \xi_\alpha \circ (\xi_{\beta * \gamma}) = \xi_{\alpha * (\beta * \gamma)} = \\
&\xi_{((\beta * 0) * \alpha) * \gamma} = \xi_{((\beta * 0) * \alpha)} \circ \xi_\gamma = \\
&(\xi_\beta \circ \xi_0) \circ \xi_\alpha \circ \xi_\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

We prove that $(H/f, \circ, f_0)$ is a CBBG-algebra. $(f_i \circ \xi_0) \circ f_j = f_{i * 0} \circ f_j = f_{(i * 0) * j} = f_{(j * 0) * i} = ((f_j \circ f_0) \circ f_i)$.

Therefore, $(H/f, \circ, f_0)$ is a CBBG-algebra.

The set H/f is called the FQ CBBG-algebra

REFERENCES

1. Zadeh LA.: Fuzzy sets, Inform. and Control 1965, 8: 338-353.
2. Asawasamrit S, and Leerawat U: On quotient binary algebras. Scientia Magna 2010, 6(1):1035-1044.
3. Asawasamrit S, and Sudprasert A: A structure of KK-algebras and its proper-ties International Journal of Mathematical Analysis 2012, 6(21): 1035-1044.
4. Dudek WA, and Zhang X: On ideals and congruences in BCC-algebras, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 1998, 48(1): 21-29. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022407325810>
5. Ding Y, and Pang Z: Congruences and quotient algebras BCI-algebras, Formalized Mathematics 2007, 15(4): 175-180. <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10037-007-0021-8>
6. Prabpayak C, and Leerawat U: On ideals and congruences in KU-algebras, Scientia Magna 2009, 5(1): 54-57.
7. Tearnbucha C and Asawasamrit S: On BBG-algebras and quasigroups, The 20th Annual Meeting in Mathematics, 27-29 May 2015, Faculty of Science, Silpakorn University, Nakhon Pathom 2015, 327-333.
8. Thamtan P, Asawasamrit S,

- Moore EJ: On ideals and congruences in BBG- algebras, The Journal of Applied Science, 2017, 16(1): 7-16.
9. Lee K.J, Fuzzy ideals of pseudo BCI-algebras, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Informatics 2009, 27: 795-807.
 10. Dymek G, Walendziak A: Fuzzy ideals of pseudo BCK algebras, Demonstratio Mathematica, XLV , 2012, 1 : 1-15.
 11. Murali V: Fuzzy congruence relations, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 1989, 30: 155-163.
 12. Murali V, Fuzzy congruence relations, Fuzzy Sets and Systems (1991), , 41 : 359-369.
 13. Kondo V: Fuzzy congruences on groups, Quasigroups and Related Systems, 2004, 11:, 59-70.
 14. Rezaei A, Borumand Saeid A, On fuzzy subalgebras of BE-algebras, Afrika Matematika, 2011,22(2): 115-127.
 15. Rezaei V, Borumand Saeid A: Fuzzy congruence relations in CI-algebras, Neural Computing and Applications (2012), 21(1): 319-328.
 16. Rezaei A, Borumand Saeid A, Radfar, Borzooei RA: Congruence relations on pseudo BE-algebras, Annals of the University of Craivova, Mathematics and Computer Science Series 2014, 41(2): 166-176.