



Indigenous Education System and the Quest for Indigenizing the School Curriculum: The Case of North Shoa Zone

Getahun Melaku^{1*}, Kebede Yimer²

^{1,2}Department of English Language and Literature, Debre Berhan University, P.O. Box 445, Debre Berhan, Ethiopia

Abstract

Education is a key social institution that plays a central role in the transmission of cultural heritage across generations. It conveys norms, values, attitudes, knowledge, and social and technological skills essential for societal continuity. However, the manner in which these elements are transmitted varies according to the worldview and cultural context of a given society. In Ethiopia, successive educational systems have largely failed to reflect the country's indigenous cultures, socioeconomic realities, and epistemological traditions. This misalignment is largely due to the adoption and replication of Western educational models, often developed with minimal local input. As a result, the Ethiopian curriculum has remained detached from indigenous knowledge systems. This study critically examines the integration of indigenous knowledge-specifically the Ethiopian Orthodox Church's traditional education system (Ye'Abinet Timihirt Bet)- within the national school curriculum. Through a sociological analysis of curriculum content, the study explores the historical marginalization of indigenous educational practices and calls for the re-centering of local values, pedagogies, and nationalities within formal education. The paper argues for the development of culturally grounded curricula that acknowledge the role of indigenous education in shaping identity, morality, and social cohesion. Incorporating such frameworks into pedagogical practices is essential for creating contextually relevant and inclusive education systems in Ethiopia.

Keywords: Indigenous knowledge, curriculum reform, Ethiopian Orthodox Church, traditional education, sociological analysis, cultural relevance

**Corresponding author:* getahun.melaku@dbu.edu.et,

Received: Oct 17/2024, Revised: Jan1/2025, Accepted: Jan 10/2025, Published: Feb1/2025

1. INTRODUCTION

Education serves as one of the most enduring mechanisms through which societies reproduce themselves, transmit cultural values, and equip younger generations to participate meaningfully in social life. Beyond its functional role in preparing individuals for employment, education embodies the collective memory, moral frameworks, and intellectual traditions of a community. Across history, educational systems have reflected the priorities, beliefs, and structures of their societies, shaping both individual identities and national consciousness [5]. In this sense, schooling is not merely a technical instrument for learning; it is a social institution that mediates cultural continuity and societal cohesion.

In contemporary contexts, formal education has expanded dramatically, particularly in urban centers where children spend significant portions of their day in classrooms, completing homework, and engaging in co-curricular activities. Globally, rising enrollment rates in primary, secondary, and tertiary education underscore the increasing centrality of schools as spaces where knowledge, values, and skills are transmitted [5]. Yet, this expansion has often favored Western epistemologies, which dominate curricula, pedagogical methods, and assessment standards. Such trends can inadvertently marginalize local knowledge systems, leaving gaps in the recognition and preservation of indigenous cultural and intellectual heritage [14].

Ethiopia presents a unique educational landscape where the modern schooling system exists alongside deeply rooted indigenous knowledge systems. These indigenous systems, often orally transmitted, embody the accumulated wisdom of local communities, shaped by centuries of experience, cultural practices, and spiritual beliefs [4], [19]. They are context-specific, adaptive, and deeply intertwined with social, moral, and religious life. Within this rich tapestry of indigenous learning, the Ethiopian Orthodox Church (EOC) education system has historically held a central position, serving not only as a religious

institution but also as a primary educational provider for the broader community [13].

For centuries, EOC educational institutions—including monasteries, cathedrals, and parish schools—offered structured curricula that went beyond religious instruction. Students engaged in studies of theology, philosophy, literature, music, calendar systems, astronomy, and governance, preparing them to contribute both to ecclesiastical administration and secular leadership. Levels of instruction, such as Ye’abinet Timhrt Bet, Yekes Temehirt Bet, Qene Bet, and Metshaf Bet, were organized to ensure systematic mastery of content, catering to learners ranging from children to adults [8]. Pedagogical methods emphasized oral recitation, memorization, choral chanting, and mentoring relationships between senior and junior learners, creating a holistic learning environment that integrated intellectual, spiritual, and practical development [13].

The significance of EOC education extends beyond its curricular content. Its emphasis on ethical formation, moral discipline, community engagement, and perseverance cultivated individuals capable of contributing meaningfully to society. Historically, learners relied on communal support systems for sustenance, engaged in spiritual and civic responsibilities, and developed resilience through balancing learning with life challenges [13]. The system also fostered literacy in Ge’ez, the liturgical language, while transmitting cultural values that have remained central to Ethiopian identity [17].

Despite its enduring legacy, EOC education has faced marginalization in the modern era. The introduction of Western-style schooling under Emperor Menelik II in 1908, followed by successive political regimes, positioned foreign epistemologies as dominant frameworks for curriculum design and educational governance [17]. This marginalization is compounded by language barriers, the privileging of English in secondary and tertiary education, and societal perceptions that undervalue indigenous knowledge [14]. Consequently, the Ethiopian Orthodox Church system continues to exist but often in peripheral spaces, with its contributions to moral development, literacy, and cultural preservation insufficiently integrated into the national curriculum [19].

Recognizing the importance of indigenous education, the Ethiopian government's education policies have, in principle, acknowledged the value of traditional knowledge systems. Article 3.6.7 of the 1994 Education and Training Policy, for instance, explicitly calls for the development and integration of indigenous educational practices into modern curricula [17]. However, policy implementation has been inconsistent, largely symbolic, and insufficiently supported by concrete strategies, leaving a disconnect between formal schooling and local cultural realities [14].

This study, therefore, focuses on the Ethiopian Orthodox Church education system in the North Shoa Zone as a representative indigenous knowledge system. It investigates the structure, practices, and pedagogies of the system, explores the perceptions of learners and stakeholders, identifies challenges to integration, and examines the potential contributions of EOC education to modern curriculum development. By doing so, the research seeks to illuminate how Ethiopia can indigenize its school curriculum—bridging historical knowledge and cultural heritage with contemporary educational goals, and creating a framework that is both locally rooted and globally informed.

In doing so, the study addresses a critical educational challenge: how to reconcile the imperatives of modern schooling with the richness and resilience of indigenous educational traditions. It positions EOC education not as a relic of the past but as a living, adaptive system capable of enriching Ethiopia's educational landscape, ensuring that learners are culturally grounded, ethically formed, and academically competent [4].

Statement of the Problem

The development of Ethiopia's educational curriculum has historically been shaped by external influences, particularly Western models that were either directly imported or adapted through collaborations with foreign experts. This reliance on external frameworks has remained a persistent feature across successive political regimes—the imperial government (until 1974), the military socialist Derg administration, and the current federal

system [11]. Each regime, shaped by its own ideological orientation and diplomatic relations, adopted curricular frameworks that reflected Western epistemologies rather than local realities. As a result, even those curricula designed domestically have largely remained derivative, consisting of direct reproductions or minor modifications of foreign systems.

A critical limitation of this externally oriented approach has been the systematic marginalization of indigenous knowledge systems, most notably the traditional educational heritage of the Ethiopian Orthodox Church (EOC). While the 1994 Ethiopian Education and Training Policy formally acknowledges the importance of traditional knowledge and explicitly calls for its integration with modern education under Article 3.6.7 [2], implementation has been inconsistent and largely symbolic. The policy mandate to “improve and develop traditional education by integrating it with modern education” has therefore not been meaningfully realized.

Despite a global paradigm shift toward valuing indigenous knowledge in educational reform, Ethiopia's curriculum continues to prioritize universalist Western models. This creates a profound disconnection between formal schooling and the lived realities, cultural heritage, and intellectual traditions of local communities [3]. The failure to bridge this gap risks alienating students from their own cultural identity while undermining the potential contributions of indigenous epistemologies to national development.

Addressing this challenge requires a deliberate effort to indigenize the school curriculum. Such efforts should include the active incorporation of local knowledge content, genuine curriculum contextualization, targeted teacher training, and meaningful community participation in curriculum design and implementation. Only through such measures can Ethiopia develop a curriculum that is both globally relevant and locally grounded.

Study Objectives

The overarching aim of this study is to explore the role and relevance of indigenous education systems—particularly the traditional education of the Ethiopian Orthodox Church (EOC)—in the process of indigenizing the modern school curriculum in the North Shoa Zone, by

examining their features, community perceptions, challenges, and potential strategies for integration. By doing so, the study seeks to explore the potential for indigenizing the curriculum in a manner that reflects Ethiopia's cultural heritage while complementing modern educational frameworks.

The study was guided by the following specific objectives:

To examine the main features and practices of indigenous education systems in the North Shoa Zone.

To analyze the relevance of indigenous knowledge, values, and cultural practices to the formal school curriculum.

To identify challenges that hinder the incorporation of indigenous education systems into school curricula.

To propose strategies and recommendations for effectively indigenizing the school curriculum in the North Shoa Zone.

To examine the potential contributions of EOC church education to the development and enrichment of modern education in Ethiopia.

Research Questions

In line with the objectives, the study was guided by the following research questions:

What are the main features and practices of indigenous education systems in the North Shoa Zone?

How are indigenous knowledge, values, and cultural practices relevant to the formal school curriculum?

What challenges hinder the incorporation of indigenous education systems into school curricula in the North Shoa Zone?

What strategies can be proposed to effectively indigenize the school curriculum in the North Shoa Zone?

In what ways can EOC church education contribute to the development and enrichment of the modern Ethiopian school curriculum?

Data Collection Methods

Primary data for this study were collected using a qualitative multi-method approach, comprising semi-structured in-depth interviews, focus group discussions (FGDs), and direct observational techniques. The semi-structured interview format was chosen for its

flexibility, enabling in-depth probing of respondents' perspectives while allowing participants to guide the conversation in a manner similar to open-ended interviews [21]. All interviews and FGDs were conducted in Amharic, the local language, and were audio-recorded with participants' consent to ensure accuracy of the data collected [21], [22].

Participants

A purposive sampling technique was employed to recruit eighteen key informants based on their specialized expertise and direct experience with the traditional Ye'abinet Timhirt Bet educational system [23]. The participant cohort included two church administrators, two instructors from Ye'abinet Timhirt Bet schools located in Zebir Gebre'el and Mehal Meda (North Shoa Zone), and fourteen students currently enrolled in these institutions. The focus group discussions were conducted primarily with student participants, involving both senior and junior learners [22].

Secondary Data

Secondary data were incorporated through the verification and content analysis of relevant documents, including scholarly books, academic journal articles, and official Ethiopian educational policy documents [24]. Historical analysis was also employed to provide a comprehensive contextual background for the research [11], [13], [17].

Ethical Considerations

Participants were fully briefed on the purpose of the research prior to data collection [26]. To encourage openness and active participation during interviews and FGDs, strict confidentiality of all responses was maintained throughout the study [27].

Data Analysis

Data analysis in this study employed a systematic thematic analysis procedure. The process began with repeated readings of the collected documents to identify preliminary

codes and themes corresponding to the study's objectives [20].

The Amharic audio recordings from interviews and FGDs were transcribed verbatim, followed by repeated listening to ensure accuracy and capture nuances in participants' responses [21]. After transcription, the data were translated from Amharic into English. Particular care was taken to preserve the original meaning and intent of participants' statements, with the research team conducting continuous cross-checks between the source and translated texts [29].

Thematic analysis was then applied to the dataset, following an iterative coding and categorization process. Central themes were allowed to emerge inductively, and these were organized under major headings aligned with the research questions [28]. From these categories, excerpts of analytical significance were extracted, interpreted, and synthesized to form the final thematic clusters [30].

This multi-step analytic approach ensured both the credibility and trustworthiness of the findings, while grounding the interpretations in the lived experiences of the participants.

Results and Discussion

Indigenous Knowledge in Ethiopian Orthodox Church (EOC) Education

The findings indicate that the curriculum and pedagogical methodologies of the Ethiopian Orthodox Church (EOC) schools are deeply rooted in indigenous knowledge systems preserved over centuries. A key informant described the structure of this system:

"The curriculum consists of four main levels: Nibab Bet, Zema Bet, Qene Bet, and Metshaf Bet, with each level containing different programs. Each level is structured systematically and includes estimated time duration to complete the lessons, allowing for individual differences in progression... The curriculum was designed considering the learners' age groups, ranging from children as

young as four years old to adults and lifelong learners" (Key Informant Interview, 2023).

Details of each level include:

- **Nibab Bet:** The foundational stage covering *qutir*, *abugida*, *qum nibab*, *wurd nibab*, and culminating in reading the Psalms (Dawit). Learners master the *fidels*, a syllabic alphabet of 26 base characters. Available in village churches, this level mainly serves children aged four and above, with parental involvement critical for enrollment.
- **Zema Bet (Apostle's alphabet or Fidel Hawaria):** Focused on memorization of the first chapter of the Epistle of St. John in Ge'ez and introduction to writing skills. Subclasses include *Tsome Digua*, *Digua*, *Zimare*, *Mewasit*, *Kidassie*, and *Aquarequam*. Learners at this stage often exercise autonomy to access classes not locally available.
- **Qene Bet:** Involves advanced study of Ge'ez language, textual interpretation, and spiritual philosophy, preparing students for the highest educational level.
- **Metshaf Bet:** The pinnacle of traditional education, covering *Behuy* (Old Testament), *Hadis* (New Testament), *Metshafe Liqawnt* (works of former church scholars, calendar developers, astronomers), and *Metshafe Menekosat* (monastic life).

Pedagogical observations highlighted a strong reliance on oral instruction and recitation, with students seated at the teacher's feet, grouped by performance levels, and senior students teaching juniors under the supervision of the master teacher. Standard practices include memorization, choral chanting, and oral study [Direct Observation].

While access is formally open to both genders, historical cultural norms have limited female participation. Nevertheless, some female learners have completed their studies and contributed by establishing *Gubae Bet* schools,

ensuring continuity of education for future generations.

Contributions of EOC Education to Modern Curriculum

The Ethiopian Orthodox Church (EOC) education system provides a number of pedagogical and cultural resources that can enrich Ethiopia's modern curriculum. First, its emphasis on **moral and spiritual formation** instills values such as discipline, respect for elders, perseverance, and communal responsibility. Integrating these values into civic and moral education subjects could strengthen character development in formal schools.

Second, the EOC's **oral pedagogical methods**, including memorization, recitation, and dialogical interpretation, offer alternative approaches to classroom teaching that can complement modern learner-centered strategies. These practices could enhance literacy, rhetorical skills, and critical thinking when adapted for contemporary learning environments.

Third, the EOC curriculum embodies **rich indigenous knowledge systems**, particularly in areas such as Ge'ez language, traditional music (Zema), poetry and rhetoric (Qene), and indigenous sciences (astronomy, calendrical studies). Select aspects of these fields could be incorporated into language, arts, and science subjects to provide students with culturally grounded and contextually relevant knowledge.

Finally, the EOC's **community-based approach to education**, where learners are supported by local institutions, churches, and volunteers, highlights the role of collective responsibility in sustaining education. This model can inform modern strategies for community-school partnerships and learner support systems, particularly in rural areas where resources are limited.

By bridging traditional systems with modern requirements, these contributions illustrate the **practical value of indigenous education** in curriculum indigenization. Rather than replacing modern schooling, EOC education

provides complementary resources that can foster both academic excellence and cultural continuity.

Learning, Work, and Survival: The Lives of Adult Learners at Ye'abinet Timhrt Bet

Historically, learners at Ye'abinet Timhrt Bet depended on begging as a primary means of sustenance, relying on communal generosity to provide for themselves and, in some cases, their teachers or masters. This communal culture ensured survival, with physically handicapped learners contributing through spiritual duties such as conducting evening prayers on behalf of the group. In this way, education, livelihood, and survival were intertwined within a shared social and religious framework.

In contemporary times, these practices have shifted toward more organized forms of institutional and community support. Churches, charitable organizations, and volunteers now play a significant role in providing food, clothing, and shelter. Access to such opportunities, however, has grown increasingly competitive, with admission often contingent on passing rigorous entrance examinations.

At the advanced *Metshaf Bet* level, learners receive more formalized support. This includes not only food and small stipends or pocket money but also structured opportunities for part-time work. Such opportunities help students contribute to their livelihood while sustaining their studies. Yet, this stage is reached only after years of study, discipline, and progression through earlier levels of training, each stage requiring the successful completion of demanding examinations.

Despite these supports, many adult learners continue to face significant challenges. Balancing educational commitments with the need to work for survival creates constant pressure. Some engage in small-scale trading, manual labor, or service work to meet their daily needs. Their resilience lies in combining formal study with informal survival strategies, reflecting a long-standing tradition of perseverance within Ethiopian systems of indigenous education.

Challenges of Recognition: Indigenous Education and the Quest for Curriculum Integration

The EOC's traditional education system, spanning approximately 1,600 years, has significantly contributed to adult education, literacy, moral development, and civic virtues such as patriotism and cultural preservation. Despite this legacy, Ethiopia's national curriculum remains dominated by Western knowledge paradigms, often funded or designed with foreign expertise [1], [6]. This Eurocentric bias undermines local epistemologies and dismisses valuable indigenous knowledge, despite Ethiopia never being fully colonized [4], [11].

Key challenges to integrating indigenous knowledge include:

- Historical reliance on foreign-developed or copied curricula, limiting local cultural content.
- Language barriers, with English as the dominant medium in secondary and tertiary education, excluding Ge'ez.
- Persistence of colonial modes of thinking, especially in private schools.
- Longstanding undervaluation of indigenous knowledge, resulting in superficial policy implementation [2].

A deliberate effort to decolonize the curriculum and incorporate EOC indigenous knowledge is critical for creating a culturally relevant, responsive, and sustainable educational framework.

Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that the Ethiopian Orthodox Church (EOC) education system remains a vital reservoir of indigenous knowledge, cultural values, and pedagogical practices. Its layered structure, moral emphasis, and community-based support have historically shaped Ethiopia's intellectual and cultural identity. The findings highlight that, despite its marginalization in the modern era, EOC education continues to offer unique

contributions to contemporary learning—ranging from value-based formation and oral pedagogies to indigenous sciences, arts, and community models of support.

For Ethiopia's curriculum to be truly indigenized, these contributions must be acknowledged and systematically integrated into formal schooling. This requires a conscious effort to decolonize educational frameworks, revalue local knowledge systems, and create opportunities for exchange between traditional and modern pedagogies. Concrete steps could include incorporating elements of Ge'ez studies, Qene poetry, traditional music, and ethical training into the national curriculum, while also building mechanisms for collaboration between modern schools and local church institutions.

Ultimately, indigenizing the curriculum is not a call to replace modern education but to enrich it—ensuring that Ethiopia's learners are rooted in their cultural heritage while equipped to face global challenges. By embracing indigenous education systems such as the EOC tradition, Ethiopia can build a curriculum that is both academically robust and authentically Ethiopian.

Hence, the following objectives should guide this transformation:

1. Curriculum redesign must balance social, cultural, economic, and environmental imperatives within Ethiopia's unique local context [3].
2. Challenging Western hegemony: The system must confront and dismantle the dominance of Western knowledge introduced since the early 20th century [1], [11].
3. Recognition of indigenous knowledge: Traditional EOC education and its pedagogical methods should be formally validated and systematically integrated into the national curriculum, moving beyond policy rhetoric to meaningful practice [2], [4].

Through such efforts, Ethiopia can cultivate an education system that is culturally grounded, socially responsive, and historically informed,

fostering citizens with deep cultural understanding, practical life skills, and a sense of social responsibility.

References

1. Akena, A: Critical analysis of the production of Western knowledge and its implications for indigenous knowledge and decolonization, *J. Black Stud* 2012, 43 (6): 619–638,. Accessed: Oct. 13, 2019. [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2341466>
2. Alexander J, and Thompson,K: A Contemporary Introduction to Sociology: Culture and Society in Transition. London, U.K.: Paradigm Publishers, 2008.
3. Battiste M: Indigenous Knowledge and Pedagogy in First Nations Education: A Literature Review with Recommendations. Ottawa, ON, Canada: National Working Group on Education, 2002. Accessed: Sep. 12, 2019. [Online]. Available: www.afn.ca
4. Dei GJ: Rethinking the role of indigenous knowledges in the academy, *Int. J. Inclusive Educ.* 2000, 4 (2):111–132.
5. Durkheim É: Education and Sociology. New York, NY, USA: Free Press, 1956.
6. Feleke W, Getahun H: Re-Africanizing the educational system of Ethiopia, *Afr. J. Hist. Cult* 2015, 7 (2): 38–42,.
7. Gorjestani, N: Indigenous knowledge for development: Opportunities and challenges, Indigenous Knowledge for Development Program, The World Bank, Paper presented at the UNCTAD Conf. on Traditional Knowledge, Geneva, Switzerland, Nov. 1, 2000.
8. Kane T L: Amharic-English Dictionary. Wiesbaden, Germany: Harrassowitz, 1990.
9. Kelman BRP, Murthy and Gaillard J: Indigenous knowledge and disaster risk education, *Geogr. Assoc.* 2012, 97 (1): 12–21.
10. Semali LJ and Semali LM: Eds., What is Indigenous Knowledge? Voices from the Academy. New York, NY, USA: Routledge, 1999.
11. Negash T: Education in Ethiopia: From Crisis to the Brink of Collapse. Stockholm, Sweden: Nordiska Afrikainstitutet, 2006.
12. Oba F, Eboh L: Colonialism and education,” in *Proc. Int. Conf. Learn., Teach. Change*, 2011: 624–631.
13. Pankhurst R: Education in Ethiopia during the Italian Fascist occupation (1936–1941), *J. Ethiopian Stud.* 1974, 12 (1): 1–24.
14. Parsons T: The school class as a social system: Some of its functions in American society, *Harv. Educ. Rev.* 1961, 29, (4): 297–318.
15. Shah BV, Shah KB: Sociology of Education. Delhi, India: Rewat Publishing, 1974.
16. Usman LM: The indigenous knowledge system of female pastoral Fulani of Northern Nigeria, in *Indigenous Knowledge Systems and Development*, L. Semali, Ed. New York, NY, USA: Palgrave Macmillan, 2010: 213–226.
17. Bahru Z: A History of Modern Ethiopia, 1855–1991. London, U.K.: James Currey, 2002.
18. Zewude A, Vampe M: African indigenous knowledge systems, *Res. Found. State Univ. New York Fern. Braudel Center* 2006: 329–358,.
19. Agrawal A: Dismantling the divide between indigenous and scientific knowledge, *Dev. Change* 1995, 26, (3): 413–439.
20. Creswell JW: Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: Sage, 2013.
21. Kvale S, Brinkmann S: *InterViews: Learning the Craft of Qualitative Research Interviewing*, 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: Sage, 2009.
22. Krueger RA, Casey MA: *Focus Groups: A Practical Guide for Applied Research*, 5th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: Sage, 2015.
23. Patton MQ: *Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods*, 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: Sage, 2015.
24. Bowen GA: Document analysis as a qualitative research method, *Qual. Res. J.* 2009, 9 (2): 27–40.
25. Cohen, Manion L, and Morrison K: *Research Methods in Education*, 8th ed. London, U.K.: Routledge, 2018.
26. American Educational Research Association (AERA), *Code of Ethics*, 2011.
27. Orb A, Eisenhauer L, Wynaden D: Ethics in qualitative research, *J. Nurs. Scholarsh.* 2001, 33 (1): 93–96.

28. Braun V Clarke V: Using thematic analysis in psychology, Qual. Res. Psychol. 2006, 3, (2): 77–101.
29. Temple B, Young A: Qualitative research and translation dilemmas, Qual. Res. 2004, 4, (2):61–178.
30. Nowell, L.S, Norris JM: White, and N. J. Moules, “Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria,” Int. J. Qual. Methods 2017, 16 (1): 1–13.